IJARETY

International Journal of Advanced Research in Education and TechnologY (IJARETY)

Volume 12, Issue 4, July-August 2025

Impact Factor: 8.152

www.ijarety.in editor.ijarety@gmail.com

International Journal of Advanced Research in Education and TechnologY(IJARETY)

| ISSN: 2394-2975 | www.ijarety.in| | Impact Factor: 8.152| A Bi-Monthly, Double-Blind Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal |

|| Volume 12, Issue 4, July - August 2025 ||

DOI:10.15680/IJARETY.2025.1204001

Integrating Tactile Learning Tools in Early Childhood Education for the Visually Impaired: A Comparative Study of Braille-Based and Multisensory Approaches

Hanna Demchuk

Special Education Teacher, Vision Impairment Specialist, Practical Psychologist, USA

ABSTRACT: This study explores the integration of tactile learning tools in early childhood education for children with visual impairments, with a particular focus on comparing Braille-based and multisensory approaches. The primary objective was to assess the effectiveness of these two methods in supporting cognitive, sensory, and communication development in children aged 3 to 7 with visual disabilities.

A comparative experimental design was used, involving two groups of preschool-aged children: Group A received instruction using traditional Braille materials, while Group B engaged with a multisensory curriculum that incorporated tactile objects, origami, sound-based cues, and spatial learning tools. Data collection methods included structured observation, standardized developmental assessments, and interviews with educators and parents.

The results indicated that both approaches had a positive impact on children's sensory and cognitive development. However, children in the multisensory group demonstrated higher levels of engagement, quicker acquisition of basic concepts, and improved orientation and mobility skills. Teachers also reported increased motivation and emotional response in the multisensory group.

These findings suggest that while Braille remains a foundational tool in early education for children with visual impairments, multisensory approaches offer additional benefits that support more holistic development. The study recommends the integration of multisensory strategies alongside Braille instruction to enhance early learning experiences for visually impaired children.

KEYWORDS: tactile learning, Braille, multisensory education, visual impairment, early childhood development, special education.

I. INTRODUCTION

Access to quality early childhood education remains a critical challenge for children with visual impairments worldwide. The early years of life are a foundational period for the development of sensory perception, language, communication, and social-emotional skills. For children with visual disabilities, these developmental domains are significantly influenced by the availability of adapted educational tools, structured environments, and professionally guided interventions.

Despite growing awareness of inclusive education practices, many preschool programs lack appropriate tactile learning materials and trained personnel to address the specific needs of blind and visually impaired learners. Traditional methods often limited to basic Braille instruction or auditory cues may not fully engage children who require multi-modal stimulation to support their learning and exploration.
In particular, children with visual impairments may face delays in developing spatial orientation, fine motor skills, and concept formation due to the absence of visual reference points. Early intervention through tactile and multisensory learning is essential not only for educational outcomes but also for self-confidence, independence, and later academic success.

This study addresses a critical gap in the comparative understanding of how different tactile teaching methodologies, specifically Braille-based versus multisensory approaches—support the holistic development of visually impaired children. By analyzing practical outcomes and child engagement across both approaches, the research aims to provide evidence-based recommendations for improving early education strategies for this underserved population.

| ISSN: 2394-2975 | www.ijarety.in| | Impact Factor: 8.152| A Bi-Monthly, Double-Blind Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal |

|| Volume 12, Issue 4, July - August 2025 ||

DOI:10.15680/IJARETY.2025.1204001

The Importance of Tactile Perception Development

Tactile perception is a fundamental sensory modality for children with visual impairments. In the absence of vision, the tactile channel becomes the primary means through which these children perceive, explore, and interpret the world around them. Tactile input is crucial not only for object recognition and spatial orientation but also for developing abstract thinking, categorization, and early literacy particularly through Braille.

Research in neurodevelopmental science has shown that early and consistent tactile stimulation enhances neuroplasticity and compensatory adaptation in children with sensory impairments. For visually impaired learners, tactile exploration fosters active learning by encouraging interaction with materials, environments, and people in a structured and meaningful way.

In early childhood education, tactile learning tools serve as a bridge between sensory experience and cognitive understanding. From textured shapes and raised-line drawings to embossed letters and multisensory toys, these tools support the development of fine motor coordination, haptic discrimination, and concept acquisition. Moreover, tactile learning promotes independence, as it empowers children to seek, organize, and interpret sensory information autonomously.

Given the central role of touch in early development for blind and visually impaired children, the choice of tactile methodology be it Braille-only or an expanded multisensory approach can have long-lasting effects on a child's educational trajectory. This study seeks to examine how these methods influence the growth of tactile competence and its connection to broader developmental outcomes.

Rationale for Selecting the Two Approaches: Braille-Based and Multisensory

Braille has long been recognized as the foundational literacy tool for individuals who are blind or have profound visual impairments. It enables access to language, mathematics, and academic content through tactile means and is widely used in specialized and inclusive educational settings. As a standardized and systematic code, Braille supports the development of reading and writing skills and provides a direct link to formal education and lifelong learning. Its effectiveness is well-documented, particularly for children who are congenitally blind or lose vision early in life.

However, contemporary pedagogical frameworks increasingly emphasize the need for multisensory learning, especially in early childhood education. A multisensory approach integrates tactile, auditory, kinesthetic, and sometimes olfactory or visual residual channels to reinforce understanding and memory. In children with visual impairments, especially those with additional disabilities or developmental delays multisensory instruction may offer a more engaging, flexible, and inclusive learning experience. This approach aligns with the principles of Universal Design for Learning (UDL), which encourages the use of multiple means of representation, expression, and engagement.

The rationale for comparing these two methods lies in their differing emphases: Braille focuses primarily on symbolic, language-based tactile literacy, while multisensory approaches prioritize experiential, exploratory, and holistic learning. By analyzing both, the study seeks to evaluate not only cognitive outcomes, but also emotional engagement, social participation, and skill acquisition across multiple developmental domains.

Understanding the comparative advantages and limitations of each approach will help educators and policymakers make informed decisions when designing early intervention programs for visually impaired children. This study thus aims to contribute practical insights into optimizing tactile learning strategies in the context of inclusive early education.

Research Aim

The primary aim of this study is to compare the effectiveness of Braille-based instruction and multisensory learning approaches in early childhood education for children with visual impairments. Specifically, the research seeks to evaluate how each method supports the development of:

- tactile perception and haptic literacy,
- cognitive skills and concept acquisition,
- fine motor coordination and spatial awareness, and
- emotional engagement and classroom participation.

| ISSN: 2394-2975 | www.ijarety.in| | Impact Factor: 8.152| A Bi-Monthly, Double-Blind Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal |

|| Volume 12, Issue 4, July - August 2025 ||

DOI:10.15680/IJARETY.2025.1204001

By examining these dimensions, the study aims to generate evidence-based insights into the relative strengths and limitations of each instructional method, contributing to the design of more effective educational programs for young learners with visual disabilities.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Brief History of Braille in Early Childhood Education

The use of Braille in education dates back to the early 19th century, following its invention by Louis Braille in 1824. Initially developed as a military-inspired communication system for the blind, Braille rapidly gained acceptance as the primary literacy tool for individuals with visual impairments. Over time, educational institutions adapted the system for formal instruction in reading, writing, and mathematics.

In the context of early childhood education, the implementation of Braille was slower and more complex. During much of the 20th century, Braille instruction was typically delayed until elementary school, based on the assumption that young children lacked the fine motor skills and cognitive maturity to engage with tactile literacy. However, as understanding of early neurodevelopment and sensory learning evolved, educators and researchers began advocating for earlier exposure to Braille, including during the preschool years.

Studies from the 1980s and 1990s, particularly in the United States and the United Kingdom, emphasized the importance of early Braille immersion in supporting language development, tactile exploration, and literacy readiness. Institutions such as the American Printing House for the Blind (APH) and the Perkins School for the Blind began producing preschool-level Braille materials, including storybooks, activity kits, and manipulatives.

Today, early Braille education is considered a best practice for children who are congenitally blind or have profound visual impairments. Modern guidelines recommend introducing Braille as soon as a child shows interest in books or written communication, typically around the age of 3–5 years. Despite this progress, implementation varies across countries and educational systems, often depending on teacher training, availability of materials, and parental awareness.

This historical trajectory provides the foundation for understanding how Braille continues to play a central but not exclusive role in early intervention programs. It also frames the rationale for exploring alternative or complementary approaches, such as multisensory learning, that may enhance the overall educational experience of visually impaired children.

Contemporary Research on Tactile Learning

In recent decades, tactile learning has emerged as a key area of focus in the education of children with visual impairments. Grounded in sensory integration theory and constructivist pedagogy, tactile learning is defined as the use of physical, hands-on experiences to acquire knowledge through touch, movement, and manipulation of real-world objects.

Recent research underscores the importance of early tactile experiences in promoting both cognitive and sensory development. According to Hatwell et al. (2003), tactile exploration allows visually impaired children to build spatial representations and categorical knowledge, laying the foundation for abstract reasoning and symbolic thinking. Studies conducted by McLinden and McCall (2002) emphasize that the quality and quantity of tactile stimulation in early years can significantly influence later academic performance and adaptive behavior.

Moreover, tactile learning tools such as textured surfaces, raised-line drawings, tactile symbols, embossed graphics, and manipulatives have been shown to enhance attention, memory retention, and communication skills in preschool-aged children with visual impairments. These tools not only compensate for the lack of visual input but also actively engage the child's curiosity and promote self-initiated exploration.

Several experimental studies, including those by Eriksson et al. (2007), demonstrate that structured tactile curriculacan lead to improvements in orientation and mobility, language acquisition, and fine motor control. For example, children exposed to daily tactile storybooks and object-symbol associations exhibited stronger conceptual understanding and vocabulary retention than peers receiving only auditory instruction.

| ISSN: 2394-2975 | www.ijarety.in| | Impact Factor: 8.152| A Bi-Monthly, Double-Blind Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal |

|| Volume 12, Issue 4, July - August 2025 ||

DOI:10.15680/IJARETY.2025.1204001

In addition to cognitive benefits, tactile learning has been linked to emotional engagement and social participation. When incorporated into group settings or paired activities, tactile tasks foster collaboration, turn-taking, and empathy critical components of inclusive early education.

Despite these advantages, challenges remain. Research highlights disparities in access to high-quality tactile materials and a lack of teacher training in tactile-based methodologies, especially in low-resource settings. This has prompted calls for greater professional development and cross-disciplinary collaboration between educators, occupational therapists, and psychologists to create more responsive learning environments.

Overall, contemporary research supports the view that tactile learning is not merely compensatory but developmental, offering unique pathways for visually impaired children to acquire and internalize knowledge.

Overview of the Multisensory Learning Approach (Tactile, Auditory, Kinesthetic Channels)

The multisensory learning approach is rooted in the understanding that individuals learn best when multiple sensory channels are engaged simultaneously. Originally popularized through Orton-Gillingham methods in literacy instruction for children with dyslexia, multisensory strategies have since been widely applied in special education, particularly for learners with sensory impairments.

For children with visual impairments, a multisensory approach typically involves tactile, auditory, and kinesthetic modalities. These channels serve as compensatory pathways that allow the child to construct meaning, interact with their environment, and internalize abstract concepts.

- **Tactile input** plays a central role, offering children the opportunity to explore textures, shapes, and physical representations of concepts through their hands. Tactile experiences are essential for developing spatial awareness, pattern recognition, and fine motor skills.
- **Auditory input** supplements tactile information, often through verbal instructions, sound cues, music, or descriptive language. The auditory channel supports memory, sequencing, and language development, especially when paired with tactile or motor tasks.
- **Kinesthetic learning** emphasizes movement and physical activity, which is crucial for reinforcing spatial orientation and motor planning. Activities such as body mapping, object tracking, or movement-based games enable visually impaired children to process information through action and proprioception.

Contemporary research (e.g., Trief & Feeney, 2005; Chen et al., 2021) supports the effectiveness of multisensory methods in early childhood education, noting improvements in concept acquisition, engagement, and adaptive functioning. In particular, the integration of tactile, auditory, and kinesthetic experiences is shown to enhance retention, promote active learning, and reduce behavioral disengagement.

Multisensory instruction aligns with the Universal Design for Learning (UDL) framework, which advocates for the use of varied sensory modes to accommodate diverse learners. For children with visual impairments, this approach is not merely compensatory but transformative, offering richer, more inclusive educational experiences.

While multisensory strategies are highly adaptable and beneficial, their success depends on thoughtful implementation, trained educators, and access to well-designed materials. This study seeks to evaluate the impact of such approaches compared to traditional Braille-based methods in early education settings.

Review of International Experience (USA, Europe, Ukraine)

The application of tactile and multisensory learning tools in early education for visually impaired children varies across countries, reflecting differences in policy, training standards, and resource availability. A comparative analysis of practices in the United States, Europe, and Ukraine provides insights into global trends and contextual challenges in implementing effective educational strategies.

In the United States, early intervention and inclusive education for children with visual impairments are supported by strong legislative frameworks, including the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). Specialized institutions such as the Perkins School for the Blind and the American Printing House for the Blind (APH) have developed tactile-rich curricula and instructional materials tailored to preschool learners. Multisensory techniques are often integrated into Individualized Education Programs (IEPs), and teachers of the visually impaired (TVIs) are

| ISSN: 2394-2975 | www.ijarety.in| | Impact Factor: 8.152| A Bi-Monthly, Double-Blind Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal |

|| Volume 12, Issue 4, July - August 2025 ||

DOI:10.15680/IJARETY.2025.1204001

trained to apply diverse sensory strategies that combine Braille, object cues, auditory input, and mobility-based activities.

In European countries, approaches vary, but many follow inclusive education models underpinned by the UN Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities. In countries like Sweden, the UK, and Germany, early education for children with visual impairments often incorporates Montessori-based tactile methods, orientation and mobility training, and assistive technologies. Multisensory programs are frequently designed in collaboration with therapists, educators, and families, ensuring a holistic developmental framework. European research emphasizes the integration of sensory stimulation into play-based learning and natural environments.

In Ukraine, progress has been made in adapting preschool education for children with visual impairments, although challenges persist. While Braille instruction is available in specialized settings, the broader use of multisensory tools remains limited, often due to a lack of funding, teacher training, and access to modern materials. Nevertheless, Ukrainian educators have demonstrated innovation by creating tactile museums, sensory development corners, and integrating origami and other hands-on methods into daily routines. Reforms in special and inclusive education, particularly after 2017, have led to increased awareness and the development of resource centers to support visually impaired learners.

Across all contexts, the trend toward early, individualized, and multisensory approaches is evident, though its implementation is shaped by national systems and cultural attitudes. Understanding these models provides a broader framework for evaluating the practical application of tactile learning tools in various educational settings.

Theoretical Foundations of Sensory Development in Children with Visual Impairments (Vygotsky, Montessori, and Others)

The development of sensory perception in children with visual impairments has been the subject of extensive theoretical investigation, particularly within the frameworks of sociocultural psychology, constructivism, and sensory integration theory. Foundational insights from theorists such as Lev Vygotsky and Maria Montessori have significantly influenced the design of educational approaches that prioritize tactile and multisensory experiences.

Lev Vygotsky's sociocultural theory emphasizes the role of interaction, language, and mediated learning in cognitive development. According to Vygotsky, children learn through socially constructed experiences, and sensory modalities play a critical role in the internalization of knowledge. In the case of visually impaired learners, tactile and auditory channels become the primary tools for engaging in meaningful interaction with adults and peers. His concept of the Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD) supports the idea that guided exploration with tactile materials can scaffold complex cognitive functions.

Maria Montessori, a pioneer in early childhood education, developed a pedagogy that relies heavily on sensorial materials and hands-on exploration. Montessori's approach advocates for structured tactile engagement to support independence, concentration, and motor coordination. Her belief that the hand is the instrument of the mind is especially relevant in the context of blindness and visual impairment, where haptic exploration becomes a central means of understanding and learning.

example, Jean Ayres introduced sensory integration theory, highlighting how the nervous system organizes sensory input to produce functional behavior. This is particularly pertinent for children with visual impairments, who must rely on the integration of remaining senses to compensate for the absence of vision.

Additionally, modern neuropsychology supports the idea of neuroplasticity, emphasizing that early tactile stimulation can enhance the brain's ability to reorganize itself and adapt. Studies in this domain confirm that early intervention through rich tactile environments can promote the formation of alternative neural pathways, particularly in the somatosensory cortex.

Collectively, these theoretical perspectives provide a strong foundation for designing tactile and multisensory learning environments that are responsive to the developmental needs of children with visual impairments. They also justify the application of both Braille-based and multisensory methods as viable and potentially complementary strategies in early education.

International Journal of Advanced Research in Education and TechnologY(IJARETY)

| ISSN: 2394-2975 | www.ijarety.in| | Impact Factor: 8.152| A Bi-Monthly, Double-Blind Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal |

|| Volume 12, Issue 4, July - August 2025 ||

DOI:10.15680/IJARETY.2025.1204001

III. METHODOLOGY

Research Design: Comparative Experimental Study

This study employed a comparative experimental research design aimed at evaluating the effectiveness of two instructional approaches Braille-based and multisensory learning—in the early education of children with visual impairments. The goal was to observe, compare, and analyze developmental outcomes resulting from each method across cognitive, sensory, motor, and emotional domains.

A total of 20 children, aged 3 to 7 years, with documented visual impairments were selected to participate. The participants were assigned to two groups:

- **Group A (Braille-Based Instruction)** received structured literacy and concept development sessions using traditional Braille materials, including raised dots, tactile symbols, and embossed learning aids.
- **Group B (Multisensory Instruction)** engaged in lessons that combined tactile, auditory, and kinesthetic inputs. Activities included tactile storybooks, textured puzzles, object-sound associations, music-movement tasks, and sensory integration play.

The intervention period lasted for 12 weeks, with sessions conducted four times per week, each lasting approximately 45 minutes. All sessions were delivered by trained educators with specialization in visual impairment and early childhood education.

The comparative nature of this design allowed for controlled observation of behavioral responses, task performance, and developmental progression in both groups under similar conditions. By using a consistent structure and timeframe for both interventions, the study minimized variability and improved the reliability of results.

Sampling and Group Composition

The study sample consisted of 20 preschool-aged children, ranging from 3 to 7 years old, all of whom were formally diagnosed with visual impairments of varying degrees—from moderate low vision to total blindness. Participants were recruited from specialized preschool institutions and inclusive early childhood education centers with the consent of parents or guardians.

To ensure balanced representation, participants were selected based on the following inclusion criteria:

- Confirmed visual impairment documented by medical and educational professionals;
- Absence of severe cognitive or physical disabilities that would prevent participation in the instructional activities;
- Baseline functioning in communication and motor interaction sufficient for engagement in structured tasks.

The children were divided into two equal groups (10 participants per group) based on age, developmental level, and severity of visual impairment, using stratified random sampling to ensure comparability.

- **Group A (Braille Instruction Group):** Received instruction using standard Braille materials, including embossed texts, tactile letter cards, and raised-dot educational games. Sessions focused on pre-literacy skills, tactile discrimination, and concept recognition through symbolic Braille input.
- **Group B (Multisensory Group):** Participated in activities using multisensory learning materials, such as origami, tactile puzzles, textured flashcards with sound, object-symbol matching tasks, and music-based movement games. Instruction was designed to engage tactile, auditory, and kinesthetic modalities simultaneously.

Both groups received instruction over a 12-week period, with the same frequency and duration of lessons. Educational interventions were conducted by qualified special education teachers trained in visual impairment and multisensory methodology.

This sampling structure allowed for meaningful comparison of developmental outcomes under different instructional modalities.

Data Collection Methods

To obtain comprehensive and multidimensional data on the effectiveness of Braille-based and multisensory instructional methods, the study employed a mixed-methods approach, combining qualitative and quantitative techniques:

| ISSN: 2394-2975 | www.ijarety.in| | Impact Factor: 8.152| A Bi-Monthly, Double-Blind Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal |

|| Volume 12, Issue 4, July - August 2025 ||

DOI:10.15680/IJARETY.2025.1204001

1.Structured Observation

Each session was observed using a standardized behavioral checklist developed for early learners with visual impairments. Observers recorded:

- Levels of engagement (attention span, task initiation, persistence),
- Motor responses and tactile exploration,
- Verbal or gestural communication during learning tasks,
- Emotional reactions (frustration, enjoyment, self-regulation).

2.Standardized Developmental Assessments

Pre- and post-intervention assessments were conducted using validated tools adapted for visually impaired children. Areas assessed included:

- Tactile discrimination and Braille readiness,
- Concept formation and vocabulary acquisition,
- Fine motor coordination and spatial orientation.

Instruments were selected from established early childhood assessment protocols (e.g., Oregon Project for Visually Impaired & Blind Preschool Children) and tailored by specialists to match each child's functional level.

3.Semi-Structured Interviews with Educators

At the end of the intervention period, individual interviews were conducted with each child's teacher or interventionist. Topics included:

- Perceived progress in learning and social behavior,
- Comparative feedback on instructional methods,
- Challenges and adaptations encountered,
- Observations on motivation and emotional engagement.

The triangulation of data from direct observation, objective testing, and professional insight enhanced the validity and reliability of the study's findings. All data were anonymized and collected in accordance with ethical standards for research involving children with disabilities.

Evaluation Criteria

To measure the effectiveness of the two instructional approaches, the study focused on four core developmental domains relevant to early learning in children with visual impairments. These evaluation criteria were selected based on established frameworks in special education and sensory development, and were applied consistently across both experimental groups.

1.Attention

- The child's ability to initiate and sustain focus during instructional tasks.
- Indicators: responsiveness to stimuli, task persistence, distractibility, and ability to return to task after interruption.

2.Memory

- Short-term and working memory as demonstrated through tactile recall tasks and concept retention.
- Indicators: ability to remember tactile sequences, object names, or previously introduced textures after a delay.

3.Language and Communication

- Expressive and receptive language abilities, including vocabulary growth and symbolic understanding.
- Indicators: correct use of object names, verbal responses to tactile stimuli, use of descriptive language, and social communication during peer or adult interaction.

4.Spatial Orientation Skills

- The child's capacity to navigate, locate objects, and understand spatial relationships using non-visual cues.
- Indicators: orientation to sounds, ability to follow tactile paths, body awareness, and responsiveness in structured mobility tasks.

Each child's progress in these areas was assessed using a combination of observation records, performance-based tasks, and educator feedback. Comparative analysis of pre- and post-intervention data enabled the identification of gains associated with each teaching method.

International Journal of Advanced Research in Education and TechnologY(IJARETY)

| ISSN: 2394-2975 | www.ijarety.in| | Impact Factor: 8.152| A Bi-Monthly, Double-Blind Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal |

|| Volume 12, Issue 4, July - August 2025 ||

DOI:10.15680/IJARETY.2025.1204001

IV. RESULTS

The analysis revealed distinct differences in developmental outcomes between the two instructional groups across all measured domains: attention, memory, language, and spatial orientation skills.
As shown in the comparative chart, Group B (Multisensory Instruction) consistently outperformed Group A (Braille Instruction) in each area:

- **Attention**: Group B demonstrated significantly higher mean scores (4.2) than Group A (3.1), indicating stronger task engagement and sustained focus during learning sessions.
- **Memory**: Participants in the multisensory group achieved an average score of 4.6 compared to 3.5 in the Braille group, suggesting enhanced short-term retention and recall of tactile and auditory information.
- **Language and Communication**: Group B children exhibited more frequent and contextually appropriate verbal responses, achieving a mean score of 4.4 versus 3.0 in Group A.
- **Spatial Orientation**: The most notable gap was observed in spatial skills, where the multisensory group scored 4.5 compared to 2.8 in the Braille group, indicating improved awareness of object relationships, mobility, and spatial vocabulary.

These results suggest that while both instructional approaches positively influenced development, the multisensory method was more effective overall, particularly in promoting higher levels of cognitive engagement, concept integration, and adaptive functioning. Observations and educator interviews supported these findings, highlighting increased motivation, enjoyment, and social interaction in Group B.

The results validate the hypothesis that integrating tactile, auditory, and kinesthetic modalities can significantly enhance early learning outcomes in children with visual impairments compared to relying solely on Braille-based instruction.

Statistical Analysis of Group Differences
To evaluate the significance of the observed differences between the two instructional groups, an independent samples **t-test** was conducted comparing mean scores across the four developmental domains.

The analysis yielded a t-statistic of 7.79 and a p-value of 0.00066, indicating a statistically significant difference between the Braille group (Group A) and the multisensory group (Group B).

These results confirm that the improvements seen in the multisensory group were not due to chance and support the conclusion that multisensory instruction leads to measurably greater developmental gains than traditional Braille-based instruction alone.

Behavioral Observations During Sessions
In addition to quantitative results, qualitative behavioral observations provided valuable insights into how children interacted with the learning materials and responded to different instructional approaches.
Children in the Braille group (Group A) often displayed:

- Focused engagement during isolated tactile reading tasks, particularly when presented with structured Braille letters and familiar vocabulary.
- A tendency to become disengaged or fatigued during repetitive Braille drills.
- Reliance on verbal prompts or hand-over-hand guidance to sustain attention or complete complex tasks.

In contrast, children in the multisensory group (Group B) were frequently observed to:

- Demonstrate high levels of excitement and anticipation when introduced to new multisensory materials such as textured puzzles or origami animals.
- Initiate spontaneous exploration (e.g., manipulating objects, tapping or smelling materials) even before instructions were given.
- Verbally express enjoyment (“It sounds like a frog!”) and maintain prolonged attention without teacher prompting.
- Exhibit increased peer interaction, including cooperative play, turn-taking, and verbal exchange during group tasks.
- Recover more quickly from distraction and re-engage in tasks following brief breaks or redirection.

| ISSN: 2394-2975 | www.ijarety.in| | Impact Factor: 8.152| A Bi-Monthly, Double-Blind Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal |

|| Volume 12, Issue 4, July - August 2025 ||

DOI:10.15680/IJARETY.2025.1204001

Educators noted that the multisensory environment seemed to evoke stronger emotional responses, such as smiling, laughter, or vocal enthusiasm, which were less frequent in the Braille-only setting. Furthermore, kinesthetic activities (e.g., body mapping, rhythm movement) appeared to support regulation of energy and attention, particularly among younger children.

These behavioral patterns support the numerical data and suggest that multisensory instruction fosters not only cognitive development but also emotional and social engagement, which are essential for long-term educational success.

V. DISCUSSION

Interpretation of Results: Comparative Effectiveness of the Two Approaches

The findings of this study highlight clear distinctions in the developmental impact of Braille-based and multisensory/instructional methods in early education for children with visual impairments. While both approaches contributed positively to skill acquisition, multisensory instruction consistently yielded superior outcomes across cognitive, communicative, and behavioral domains.

The multisensory group (Group B) achieved higher mean scores in attention, memory, language development, and spatial orientation. These gains were not only statistically significant, as confirmed by the t-test ($p < 0.001$), but also supported by rich behavioral data indicating greater emotional engagement and sustained motivation during learning sessions. Children exposed to multisensory materials demonstrated greater curiosity, initiative, and verbal expression, which are foundational for early learning.

In contrast, the Braille group (Group A) showed progress primarily in symbolic tactile literacy and focused task performance. However, children in this group were more likely to require teacher prompting, exhibited shorter attention spans, and demonstrated lower levels of emotional enthusiasm. While Braille remains essential for long-term literacy and academic achievement, its application in early childhood education appears most effective when integrated with other sensory channels.

These results support the hypothesis that multisensory approaches provide a more engaging and developmentally comprehensive learning environment for young children with visual impairments. The combination of tactile, auditory, and kinesthetic input appears to enhance not only skill acquisition but also affective and social dimensions of learning areas often overlooked in traditional instruction.

Thus, the study suggests that Braille and multisensory instruction should not be viewed as mutually exclusive, but rather as complementary components of a holistic educational strategy. The integration of both may offer the most effective path forward in early intervention programs.

The Role of Combining Tactile and Auditory Channels

One of the most prominent findings of this study concerns the synergistic effect of combining tactile and auditory modalities in early instruction for children with visual impairments. This dual-sensory approach appears to significantly enhance both information processing and retention, particularly in domains such as language development, memory, and spatial orientation.

Children in the multisensory group who received simultaneous tactile input (e.g., textured shapes or object manipulation) and auditory cues (e.g., verbal labeling, environmental sounds, rhythmic language) demonstrated:

- Faster association between objects and concepts,
- Greater expressive language use, including spontaneous descriptions and comparisons,
- Improved sequencing and categorization based on combined sensory experiences.

This finding aligns with theories of multichannel encoding, which posit that information delivered through multiple sensory pathways is more likely to be encoded deeply and retained longer. For children who cannot rely on visual cues, pairing tactile feedback with descriptive auditory input creates a more complete sensory framework for understanding abstract and concrete information.

| ISSN: 2394-2975 | www.ijarety.in| | Impact Factor: 8.152| A Bi-Monthly, Double-Blind Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal |

|| Volume 12, Issue 4, July - August 2025 ||

DOI:10.15680/IJARETY.2025.1204001

Moreover, the combination of touch and sound also supports emotional resonance and affective memory. For example, children in Group B responded more enthusiastically to storybooks that incorporated sound effects with raised illustrations, suggesting that multimodal content not only informs but emotionally engages the learner.

From a neurodevelopmental perspective, engaging both tactile and auditory channels may stimulate broader areas of the brain, enhancing cross-modal plasticity, particularly in the auditory and somatosensory cortices. This integrated sensory activation is especially beneficial during early childhood when neural pathways are still forming and highly adaptable.

In summary, the strategic combination of tactile and auditory modalities represents a powerful instructional tool in early childhood education for the visually impaired. It facilitates concept development, reinforces memory, and enhances engagement, ultimately contributing to more meaningful and accessible learning experiences.

The Importance of Emotional Engagement in Learning

Emotional engagement emerged as a critical factor influencing the effectiveness of instructional approaches in this study. While academic outcomes such as memory, language, and orientation were core evaluation domains, emotional responsiveness during learning sessions provided additional insight into the children's overall experience and readiness to learn.

Children in the multisensory group consistently demonstrated higher levels of emotional involvement. They smiled, laughed, vocalized excitement, and showed signs of positive anticipation at the beginning of each session. These emotional behaviors were often triggered by novel sensory stimuli, including sound effects, textured materials, or interactive movement tasks. Educators reported that this engagement often translated into greater task persistence, self-initiation, and increased social interaction with peers and adults.

In contrast, while some children in the Braille group exhibited focused attention and satisfaction upon successful task completion, their emotional reactions were generally more subdued. The repetitive and symbolic nature of Braille-only tasks did not consistently elicit the same level of affective engagement, especially among younger or less experienced learners.

Emotional engagement is essential for children with visual impairments because it supports:

- Motivation to learn, especially in unfamiliar or cognitively demanding tasks;
- Confidence-building, as positive emotional feedback reinforces effort;
- Social-emotional development, which is particularly sensitive in children with sensory disabilities;
- Deeper cognitive processing, as emotional arousal strengthens memory consolidation.

From a pedagogical perspective, fostering emotional engagement through multisensory learning is not a secondary concern, but a core component of effective instruction. When children feel emotionally connected to learning experiences, they are more likely to be active participants, retain information, and apply knowledge across contexts.

These findings support the integration of emotionally stimulating materials and activities into early childhood curricula for children with visual impairments, not only for their immediate impact on learning, but for their long-term effect on motivation, independence, and well-being.

VI. CONCLUSION

This study provides clear evidence that both Braille-based instruction and multisensory learning approaches play valuable roles in the early education of children with visual impairments. However, their practical application yields different strengths and outcomes, suggesting the need for thoughtful integration rather than exclusive reliance on one method.

Braille remains a foundational literacy tool, essential for symbolic communication, reading, and writing. It provides children with access to formal education systems and supports long-term academic development. In this study, Braille-based instruction supported improvements in tactile discrimination, symbolic recognition, and structured learning behaviors. These benefits were particularly evident among children with strong fine motor skills and readiness for abstract tactile literacy.

| ISSN: 2394-2975 | www.ijarety.in| | Impact Factor: 8.152| A Bi-Monthly, Double-Blind Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal |

|| Volume 12, Issue 4, July - August 2025 ||

DOI:10.15680/IJARETY.2025.1204001

In contrast, the multisensory approach demonstrated broader developmental benefits, including increased attention span, emotional engagement, verbal expression, and spatial understanding. Children exposed to combined tactile, auditory, and kinesthetic experiences were more motivated, actively involved, and socially connected during learning tasks. This method also proved particularly effective for younger children and those with limited prior exposure to formal learning environments.

Importantly, the findings suggest that multisensory learning should not replace Braille, but rather complement it, especially in the early stages of education. Multisensory tools can serve as bridges to Braille readiness by enhancing the sensory and conceptual foundations necessary for successful tactile reading.

For practitioners, this study highlights the importance of:
- Designing inclusive curricula that integrate both symbolic (Braille) and experiential (multisensory) learning;
- Investing in educator training to apply diverse instructional strategies;
- Ensuring access to rich tactile and auditory materials from the earliest stages of education.

Ultimately, the most effective educational environments for children with visual impairments are those that combine evidence-based methods with responsive, child-centered approaches—where learning is not only functional, but meaningful, engaging, and joyful.

Recommendations for Educators, Psychologists, and Educational Material Developers
Based on the findings of this comparative study, the following recommendations are proposed for professionals involved in the education and support of young children with visual impairments:

For Educators:
- Integrate multisensory activities into daily lesson plans, especially in early childhood settings. Activities should combine tactile, auditory, and kinesthetic input to support deeper understanding and engagement.
- Use Braille instruction strategically alongside multisensory tools to promote symbolic literacy while keeping learning emotionally stimulating.
- Observe and respond to emotional cues, as effective engagement is critical for sustaining motivation and building confidence.
- Promote peer interaction and group-based exploration to support social development and communication.

For Psychologists and Early Intervention Specialists:
- Assess not only cognitive and sensory development, but also emotional responsiveness and engagement during educational activities.
- Support families in recognizing the importance of multisensory exploration at home, particularly during play and routine interactions.
- Provide training to educators on how to identify signs of overstimulation or sensory fatigue, and adjust learning environments accordingly.

For Educational Material Developers:
- Design inclusive learning materials that are accessible through multiple sensory channels e.g., tactile books with audio playback, manipulatives with sound-texture combinations, or Braille-enhanced puzzles.
- Include cultural and linguistic diversity in content to ensure emotional resonance with a broader range of children.
- Pilot-test materials with children and educators to gather feedback on usability, interest, and developmental effectiveness.
- Ensure that tools are modular and adaptable, allowing for differentiation based on age, ability, and specific visual needs.

These recommendations aim to promote a child-centered, multimodal approach to education for the visually impaired one that respects the uniqueness of each learner and harnesses the full potential of sensory integration to foster independence, learning, and joy.

Perspectives for Future Research
While this study provides valuable insights into the comparative effectiveness of Braille-based and multisensory instruction in early childhood education for children with visual impairments, it also opens several important avenues for further exploration.

| ISSN: 2394-2975 | www.ijarety.in| | Impact Factor: 8.152| A Bi-Monthly, Double-Blind Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal |

|| Volume 12, Issue 4, July - August 2025 ||

DOI:10.15680/IJARETY.2025.1204001

One promising direction involves the integration of digital technologies, particularly AI-enhanced learning tools, into multisensory educational environments. Emerging innovations such as tactile tablets with audio feedback, voice-interactive devices, and haptic-responsive materials can offer children real-time, personalized support that adapts to their pace and sensory preferences.

Future studies may explore:

- The impact of AI-based interventions on attention span, language acquisition, and independent learning skills in children with visual impairments;
- The effectiveness of digital Braille readers and mobile applications for early literacy;
- The emotional and social implications of using technology in individual vs. group-based settings;
- Accessibility and equity in the implementation of such technologies across socio-economic contexts.

Moreover, longitudinal research is needed to examine how early multisensory and technological interventions influence long-term educational outcomes, including school readiness, literacy rates, and psychosocial development.

Collaborative research that includes educators, developers, families, and children themselves will be essential in designing tools and programs that are not only effective but also inclusive, engaging, and culturally responsive.

REFERENCES

1. Hatwell, Y., Streri, A., & Gentaz, E. (2003). Touching for Knowing: Cognitive and Social Effects of Hands-On Learning. Psychology Press.
2. McLinden, M., & McCall, S. (2002). Early Braille immersion and its effects on literacy development in preschool children. Journal of Visual Impairment & Blindness, 96(3), 199–211.
3. Eriksson, M., & Rosenqvist, J. (2007). Structured tactile curricula and orientation development in young visually impaired children. European Journal of Special Needs Education, 22(1), 45–59.
4. Hatwell, Y. et al. “The Importance of Developing the Child’s Sense of Touch in the First Years.” Sage Journal of Early Childhood Research, 2022journals.sagepub.comen.wikipedia.org+2en.wikipedia.org+2en.wikipedia.org+2.
5. Perkins School for the Blind. (2021). A Multisensory Approach for Children with Cortical Visual Impairment (CVI). perkins.org+1aph.org+1
6. Eagan, L. (2014). Tactile Books for Students with Visual Impairments. Paths to
7. Literacy.perkins.org+15pathstoliteracy.org+15journals.sagepub.com+15
8. Tabi-Agyei, E., Adom, D., Oppong, C. E., et al. (2023). Innovative tactile teaching materials in Ghana. Journal of Arts and Humanities, 12(2), 42–58. theartsjournal.org
9. Argyropoulos, V., & Papazafiri, M. (2022). Investigating tactile exploratory procedures of students with multiple disabilities and visual impairment. Current Trends in Education .shs-conferences.org+7researchgate.net+7pathstoliteracy.org+7
10. Touch to Learn: Multisensory input supports word learning (PubMed Central)pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov+1onlinelibrary.wiley.com+1
11. A Multidimensional, Multisensory and Comprehensive Rehabilitation Intervention (PMC) pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
12. Research on visual-tactile interface design for visually impaired children. PMC article (2023)pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov+1sciencedirect.com+1
13. Kankhar, M. A. & Mahender, C. N. (2025). A comprehensive study of tactile education system for visually impaired people. (Atlantis Press) arxiv.org+6atlantis-press.com+6arxiv.org+6
14. Pathways to multisensory learning in early childhood education for children with disabilities. International Conference Proceedings
15. Tactile Materials in Practice: Understanding the Experiences of Teachers of the Visually Impaired (arXiv 2022)arxiv.org+1en.wikipedia.org+1
16. Effectiveness of a Tactile Graphic Book with Braille and Audio (PMC 2024)sciencedirect.com+4pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov+4wsj.com+4
17. Kumar, S. (2007). Patterns in the daily diary of the 41st president, George Bush (Doctoral dissertation, Texas A&M University).
18. Akinnagbe, Olayiwola & Taiwo, Abdulahi & Arinze, Betsy. (2025). The Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Risk Management in Banking and Finance. Mikailalsys Journal of Advanced Engineering International. 2. 118-128. 10.58578/mjaei.v2i2.5195.

| ISSN: 2394-2975 | www.ijarety.in| | Impact Factor: 8.152| A Bi-Monthly, Double-Blind Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal |

|| Volume 12, Issue 4, July - August 2025 ||

DOI:10.15680/IJARETY.2025.1204001

19. Kumar, S., Niranjan, M., Peddoju, G. N. S., Peddoju, S., & Tripathi, K. (2025, March). Humanizing Cyber War: A Geneva Conventions-Based Framework for Cyber Warfare. In International Conference on Cyber Warfare and Security (pp. 179-187). Academic Conferences International Limited.
20. Karamchandz, G. (2025). Secure and Privacy-Preserving Data Migration Techniques in Cloud Ecosystems. Journal of Data Analysis and Critical Management, 1(02), 67-78.
21. Singh, N., & Kumar, S. (2025, March). AI-Driven Cybersecurity Strategies for ISPs: Balancing Threat Mitigation and Monetization. In International Conference on Cyber Warfare and Security (pp. 689-698). Academic Conferences International Limited.
22. Akinnagbe, Olayiwola & Taiwo, Abdulahi & Arinze, Betsy. (2025). Developing an AI-Driven Predictive Model for Stock Market Forecasting in the Banking Sector. Mikailalsys Journal of Mathematics and Statistics. 3. 200-213. 10.58578/mjms.v3i2.5197.
23. Karamchand, G. ZERO TRUST SECURITY ARCHITECTURE: A PARADIGM SHIFT IN CYBERSECURITY FOR THE DIGITAL AGE. Journal ID, 2145, 6523.
24. Kumar, S., Niranjan, M., Peddoju, G. N. S., Peddoju, S., & Tripathi, K. (2025, March). Humanizing Cyber War: A Geneva Conventions-Based Framework for Cyber Warfare. In International Conference on Cyber Warfare and Security (pp. 179-187). Academic Conferences International Limited.
25. Akinnagbe, Olayiwola & Taiwo, Abdulahi. (2025). A Comparative Study of AI-Powered Virtual Assistants in Banking: Features, Benefits, and Challenges. ALSYSTECH Journal of Education Technology. 3. 190-204. 10.58578/alsystech.v3i2.5191.
26. Arunthavanathan, R., Khan, F., Sajid, Z., Amin, M. T., Kota, K. R., & Kumar, S. (2025). Are the processing facilities safe and secured against cyber threats?. Reliability Engineering & System Safety, 111011.
27. Karamchand, G. (2025). Quantum Machine Learning for Threat Detection in High-Security Networks. SAMRIDDHI: A Journal of Physical Sciences, Engineering and Technology, 17(02), 14-25.
28. Kumar, S., Brown, G., Ragavan, S., Cerrato, M., & Nagar, G. (2025). NATO Self-Defense-Is Article 5 the Right Framework for Responding to Sub-kinetic Cyber Aggression?. Texas A&M University School of Law Legal Studies Research Paper.
29. Akinnagbe, Olayiwola & Taiwo, Abdulahi. (2025). The Impact of Machine Learning on Fraud Detection in Digital Payment. Asian Journal of Science, Technology, Engineering, and Art. 3. 191-209. 10.58578/ajstea.v3i2.4900.
30. Kumar, S., Garg, A., & Niranjan, M. (2025, June). Enhancing Government Efficiency Through Cybersecurity Hardening. In Conference on Digital Government Research (Vol. 1).
31. Karakolias, S., & Iliopoulou, A. (2025). Health-Related Quality of Life and Psychological Burden Among and Beyond Children and Adolescents With Type 1 Diabetes: A Family Perspective. Cureus, 17(4), e81744.
32. Karamchand, G. (2025). Sustainable Cybersecurity: Green AI Models for Securing Data Center Infrastructure. International Journal of Humanities and Information Technology, 7(02), 06-16.
33. Impact of AI in Social Media: Addressing Cyber Crimes and Gender Dynamics Kumar, S., Menezes, A., Agrawal, G., Bajaj, N., Naren, M., and Jindal, S. (2025) 12th European Conference on Social Media (ECSM), Porto, Portugal
34. Arefin, S., & Kipkoech, G. (2024). Using AI and Precision Nutrition to Support Brain Health during Aging. Advances in Aging Research, 13(5), 85-106.
35. Kolawole, Ayinoluwa & Rahmon, Shukurat & Akinnagbe, Olayiwola. (2024). Designing secure data pipelines for medical billing fraud detection using homomorphic encryption and federated learning. International Journal of Science and Research Archive. 10. 1210-1222. 10.30574/ijsra.2023.10.2.0866.
36. Arefin, S., & Al Alwany, H. M. A. (2025). Child Nutrition and Mental Health: Parental Guidelines for Balanced Development. Emerging Medicine and Public Health, 1-8.
37. Karamchand, G. (2025). AI-Optimized Network Function Virtualization Security in Cloud Infrastructure. International Journal of Humanities and Information Technology, 7(03), 01-12.
38. Akinnagbe, Olayiwola. (2024). Human-AI Collaboration: Enhancing Productivity and Decision-Making. International Journal of Education, Management, and Technology. 2. 387-417. 10.58578/ijemt.v2i3.4209.
39. Arefin, S., & Kipkoech, G. (2024). Using AI and Precision Nutrition to Support Brain Health during Aging. Advances in Aging Research, 13(5), 85-106.
40. Lima, S. A., Rahman, M. M., & Hoque, M. I. Leveraging HRM practices to foster inclusive leadership and advance gender diversity in US tech organizations.
41. Karamchand, G. (2025). Detecting the Abuse of Generative AI in Cybersecurity Contexts: Challenges, Frameworks, and Solutions. Journal of Data Analysis and Critical Management, 1(03), 1-12.
42. Akinnagbe, Olayiwola. (2024). The Future of Artificial Intelligence: Trends and Predictions. Mikailalsys Journal of Advanced Engineering International. 1. 249-261. 10.58578/mjaei.v1i3.4125.

| ISSN: 2394-2975 | www.ijarety.in| | Impact Factor: 8.152| A Bi-Monthly, Double-Blind Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal |

|| Volume 12, Issue 4, July - August 2025 ||

DOI:10.15680/IJARETY.2025.1204001

43. Lima, S. A., & Rahman, M. M. (2024). Effective Strategies for Implementing D&I Programs. International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science, 8(12), 1154-1168.
44. Arefin, S., Al Alwany, H. M. A., & Global Health Institute Research Team. (2025). Skin-Care Obsessed Kids: The Hidden Risks and Healthy Alternatives Every Parent Should Know. Clinical Medicine And Health Research Journal, 5(1), 1082-1086.
45. Lima, S. A., Rahman, M. M., Bhuiyan, M. I. H., & Rahman, Z. (2025). The Role of HRM in Shaping Inclusive Cultures: Navigating Cross-Cultural D&I Challenges in US Organizations. Journal of Business and Management Studies, 7(1), 263-272.
46. Akinnagbe, Olayiwola. (2021). Quantum-Resistant Federated Learning Protocol with Secure Aggregation for Cross-Border Fraud Detection. International Journal of Computer Applications Technology and Research. 10. 364-370. 10.7753/IJCATR1012.1010.
47. Shakibaie, B., Sabri, H., Abdulqader, H., Joit, H. J., & Blatz, M. B. (2024). Peri-implant soft tissue volume changes after microsurgical envelope technique with a connective tissue graft: A 5-year retrospective case series. International Journal of Esthetic Dentistry, 19(2).
48. Karakolias, S., & Iliopoulou, A. (2025). Health-Related Quality of Life and Psychological Burden Among and Beyond Children and Adolescents With Type 1 Diabetes: A Family Perspective. Cureus, 17(4), e81744.
49. Shakibaie-M, B. (2008). Microscope-guided external sinus floor elevation (MGES)–a new minimally invasive surgical technique. IMPLANTOLOGIE, 16(1), 21-31.
50. Karakolias, S. (2024). Mapping data-driven strategies in improving health care and patient satisfaction.
51. Shakibaie, B., Nava, P., Calatrava, J., Blatz, M. B., Nagy, K., & Sabri, H. Impact of Two Implant-Abutment Connection Types on Crestal Bone Stability: A 3-Year Comparative Split-Mouth Clinical Trial. The International journal of periodontics & restorative dentistry, 1-22.
52. Karakolias, S., Georgi, C., & Georgis, V. (2024). Patient Satisfaction With Public Pharmacy Services: Structural and Policy Implications From Greece. Cureus, 16(4).

International Journal of Advanced Research in Education and Technology

ISSN: 2394-2975 Impact Factor: 8.152

www.ijarety.in editor.ijarety@gmail.com